

JAN 05 2021

PER

DEPUTY CLERK

U.S. District Court
PO Box 1148

SCRANTON, PA. 18501

12-25-20

(Chief) Col. Michael S. Owl Feather - Gorbey

VS.

No. 3:21-CV-0014

- (1) A. King, appointed Staff Rep. usp lewisburg.
- (2) B. chambers, Dto usp lewisburg.
- (3) spaulding, Warden usp lewisburg.
- (4) Bowlin, Administrative Remedy Coordinator usp lewisburg.
- (5) MARR, UNIT Counselor. usp lewisburg.
- (6) Hicks, UNIT Case manager. usp lewisburg.
- (7) GauseTph, mail Room supervisor. usp lewisburg.
- (8) N.C. English, FBop N.E. Regional Director. Phila. PA.
- (9) JAN CONNORS, FBop Central office Regional Director DC.
- (10) J. ordonez, SIS LT. usp lewisburg.
- (11) the united states.

Plaintiff Jury Demand yes!Owl Feather - Gorbey's Consolidated Bivens - Federal Tort
claim lawsuit under 31915(g) Imminent danger.

(Chief) Col. Michael S. Owl Feather - Gorbey

MORAVIAN NATION

D.C. Doc 317611 Fed. 33405-013

usp lewisburg

PO Box 1000

lewisburg, PA. 17837

Additional Parties to the suit

Where, because of their colludings, negligences & or wrongful acts related to this action, if not for their alleged Judicial or Quasi Judicial immunities which immunities Gorbey objects to & finds unconstitutional both U.S. District Court Judge Robert D. Mariani & U.S. Attorney Navin Jani would be personally named defendants in this suit. However, in such events the United States stands liable in their place see 28 USC § 2671 - 2680 the Federal Tort Claim Act.

Providing Relief & money damages - Compensations For negligent - wrongful acts of any Federal Employee.
Richards vs. United States 369 U.S. 1.6. (1962)

claim of suit

claims (1)

The defendants named, Chambers, King, Spaulding, Bowlin, Marr, Hicks, English, Gauseph, Connors & Ordorez, the United States have colluded with or in sequence to other participants, Judge Robert D. Mariani & or U.S. Attorney Assistant Navin Jani, through (patterns of misconducts) to assist & or cover up for F.C.I., Estill Prison staff, subjecting Gorbey to physical assaults while failing to keep Gorbey safe, as clear Retaliations for Gorbey's filing on Prison & Court staff misconducts & or their abusing use of the F.Bop Discipline Process against Gorbey to attempt to cover it up, (while) colluding & or participating in denying Gorbey Court Reliefs & meaningful access to further the Retaliations while failing to address the assault, obstructing justice & keeping Gorbey in

Constant threat of Further Assaults or death

Statement of Facts

Gorhey is well known for Whistle Blowing & Filing valid Complaints on Prison & Court staff misconducts. & had been doing so while at FCI Estill. 2019-2020.

on about 3-16-20 several inmates Attack Gorhey in his Cell C-A-224 FCI Estill while unit officer Mastro watch & Fail to keep Gorhey safe. because Gorhey has misconduct Complaints pending on Mastro & when the assault fail to seriously disable or kill Gorhey & he broke free from the cell officer Mastro then sounded an alarm & try to cover it up by abusing discipline Process on Gorhey on a false intoxication charge.

Gorhey was Place in FCI Estill shu pending other proceedings but on 4-17-20 got Transfer to USP Lewisburg, PA.

Where USP Lewisburg staff Place Gorhey in shu pending CMC Reclassification & violated due Process by not Giving Gorhey any 24 Hour notice of USP Lewisburg discipline proceedings or any opportunity to select a staff Rep or witnesses.

Where Lewisburg Warden Spaulding without giving Gorhey any notice Appointed MR. King to be Gorhey's staff Rep. on 4-29-20 & MR. King Accepted & Acknowledge his duties.

Where on 4-29-20 About 5 minutes before a surprise other Hearing MR. King Pop up at Gorhey's Lewisburg G-Block CMC cell Explaining He was Gorhey's staff Rep. A Hearing was taking place immediately & He King was not obligated to Assist Gorhey in any manner

with witnesses or Evidence & was only obligated to stand beside Gorbey at the Otto Hearing. While Gorbey's cell: MR. Cook - 64065-036 witness this Exchange. & gave an Affidavit regarding it.

Where, Gorbey was then taken immediately before Lewisburg Otto chambers whom stated he did not care if Staff Rep. King was refusing to assist Gorbey. that the chambers was not allowing Gorbey any of his witnesses or Evidence but he was going to continue the proceedings & himself Exparte Contact FCI Estill Staff to see what went on & if they Allege Gorbey was guilty. Gorbey would pay the price for it.

Lewisburg Otto chambers then Exparte contacted FCI Estill Lt. Shaffield & SAs Jones & had them to issue memorandums & then on 5-5-20 chambers conducted a 2nd hearing convicting & sanctioning Gorbey while ignoring Gorbey's written & verbal objections about Staff Rep. MR. King. the Exparte Communications & the denial of witnesses & Evidence. & the covering up of the assault keeping Gorbey in imminent danger.

Where immediately upon arriving at USP Lewisburg. Gorbey sent notice to Lewisburg SAs about the FCI Estill Events & the continued threat of further assaults to no avail.

Gorbey then Filed FBop Administrative Remedy Complaints on Lewisburg SAs for failing or refusing to investigate the assault & dangers. & eventually was interviewed by Lewisburg SAs Manning & Ford whom took no action & Gorbey continue his complaints to no avail.

on 6-3-20 Otto chambers issue his Otto Report which

was deliver to Gorbey via institutional mail 6-5-20 & Gorbey immediately Filed A Bp10 N.E. Region Appeal as chambers clearly violated Gorbey's Rights & Even lied claiming Gorbey made no objections to staff Rep. King Refusing to Assist Him.

Where then the N.E. Region Fail or Refuse to timely Process Gorbey's Bp10 Appeal within the 30 days Allow per Policy so Gorbey on 7-5-20 Exhausted his Remedies by Filing A Bp11 Central office Appeal.

Where then in about August 2020 Both Gorbey's Bp10 & Bp11 Appeals were Error Rejected, & Forcing Gorbey to Continue suffering sanctions and threats of further Assaults without Appeal.

Where Also in about August 2020 Lewisburg SIS Lt. ordonez & Mr Ford. Again Interview Gorbey Regarding the FCI Estill Events due to Gorbey's diligent Filing & 2 inmates were subsequently identified as participants in the FCI Estill 3-16-20 Assault and we must note that the memorandum issue to Otto chambers by FCI Estill SIS Jones in May 2020 Also Acknowledge other inmates being involved in the Events yet. Both SIS & Otto chambers Fail or Refuse to properly investigate & deliberately Error Process Gorbey on the fabricated discipline charges (to) cover up the Assault & staff misconducts. (While) Lewisburg SIS ordonez identifying 2 inmates involved took no actions against those inmates or to Rectify the Events (While) leaving Gorbey at threat & making matters even more threatful by releasing information regarding Gorbey's complaining through the 2 identified inmates to usp Lewisburg general Population. (While) Gorbey is now

designated to another prison with at least 200 or more of those now hostile inmates, threatening further assault or death.

Where, Gorbey sought relief in the courts. 3:20-cv-806-RDM for the damages & dangers he suffer & faces yet, the Court Judge mariani Ex parte colluding with Government Attorney Navin Jani & FBOP staff in proceedings. Abuse use of § 1915(g) as an affirmative prohibitive financial barrier & shut Gorbey out of court while also Judge mariani & Attorney Jani further colluded to impede Gorbey proper - timely Habeas Reliefs forcing Gorbey to suffer the unjust FBOP discipline actions & sanctions while keeping Gorbey in imminent dangers. (while) Lewisburg staff further colluding impede Gorbey Equal Protection Access to the CARES Act \$1,200.00 stimulus checks to deny him court filing fees & commissaries while subjecting him to the events.

Grounds for Relief

Issue (1) DHO chambers

chambers knowingly violated Gorbey's due process rights. To further abuse discipline process against Gorbey while knowingly covering up a physical assault & keeping Gorbey at threat of further assaults or death.

- (a) chambers proceeded with DHO proceedings at Lewisburg while knowing Gorbey was never vpc at Lewisburg & not given any 24 hour notice of the Lewisburg proceedings. Any chance to select a staff rep.
- (b) chambers knowingly allow appointed staff rep to not assist Gorbey with witnesses & evidence, while he

discarded Gorbey's written objections to Mr. King's ineffectiveness & even lied in his Otho Report that Gorbey made no such objections

- (c) chambers violated due process denying Gorbey valid witnesses & evidence. For his defence. Dr. Lipiani whom could have testify to Gorbey's medical conditions & drug facts. & on those drug facts as evidence. Lt. Barnett. unit manager Smith & Counselor Levant whom could testify to Gorbey's condition on 3-16-20.
- (d) chambers violated impartiality by Ex parte contacting FCC Estill staff outside the Otho Hearing regarding the Otho charges & then conducted a 2nd hearing on 5-5-20 to convict & sanction Gorbey.
- (e) on 5-4-20 chambers received a memorandum from FCC Estill SIS Jones as well as in his Ex parte communications with Jones & shaffied which inform chambers that other inmates were involved evidencing the assault Gorbey complain about yet. chambers discarded that information & deliberately attempted to assist in covering up the assault & staff misconducts by knowingly unjustly processing Gorbey on the disciplinary charges issuing heavy sanctions to attack Gorbey for bringing the issues to the light. while knowingly keeping Gorbey at threat of further assaults or death.

issue (2) A. King. appointed staff rep.

on about 4-29-2020 Mr. King sign a Contract Agreement to Effectively Assist Gorbey at Lewisburg Otho Proceedings. Form BP-A0306 which is Government Ex. 1. Attachment E. P. 1. in Habeas case 3:20-cr-1360/1364-PW

(Acknowledging) His duties as staff rep. yet, then deliberately Refuse to uphold them Refuse to assist Gorbey with any witnesses or evidence & assisted in processing Gorbey on unjust discipline actions & sanctions (to) cover up the assault & staff misconducts (while) keeping Gorbey at threat of further assaults & death.

Where (the OHO Report) issued 6-3-20 in several pages list that Gorbey verbally & in writing contested the charges & sought witnesses & evidence for his defense, & argue that he was assaulted while staff fail to keep him safe & abuse use of this discipline action to cover it up.

including noting these facts in section II of the OHO Report as Mr. King's statement to OHO. yet, claims in section II that Gorbey made no specific requests of him as staff rep. which is in conflict with Gorbey's statements, witness inmate Cook's Affidavit & notations made by OHO chambers throughout the OHO Report, & clearly evidencing that staff rep. Mr. King (deliberately) ineffectively assisted Gorbey while knowingly assisting in abusing discipline actions & sanctions against Gorbey (to) cover up assault & staff misconducts (while) knowingly keeping Gorbey at threat of further assaults or death.

issue (3) Mr. Spaulding, Warden.

spaulding is participating on several levels.

- (a) spaulding being the warden was obligated to see that Gorbey & any other inmate at his facility undergoing discipline proceedings is due 24 hour pre notice & the opportunity to select a staff rep & witnesses. P.S. 5270.09 354.5 (a) this is done by serving the inmate a copy of the

charge & conducting a (wpc) unit discipline hearing & having the inmate sign a BP-A0293 Inmate Rights Form & BP-A0294 Notice of OTC Hearing Form. For (any & all) charges being sent to the OTC.

This is a Facility by Facility, Warden by Warden duty & or obligation 3541.2 Application section 7

yet. Warden Spaulding knowingly violated & allow his staff to violate Gorbey's due process rights at USP Lewisburg by not affording Gorbey rights he is due regarding the USP Lewisburg discipline process.

(b) Warden Spaulding is also allowing unit teams & the Remedy Coordinator Bowlin to violate policy & to impede Gorbey's remedy appeals by deliberate delays in delivering responses & or rejections, & refusals to provide letterheads & design to force Gorbey to be deprived of meaningful appeals, & exhaustion of remedies, to force Gorbey to suffer discipline actions & sanctions.

(c) Warden Spaulding is also as admitted by Spaulding on about 12-3-2020 working with the mail room supervisor Mr. Gauseph to impede Gorbey's receipt of funds including but not limited to CARES Act stimulus checks 2020. (to) knowingly deprive Gorbey of needed court filing fees & or commissaries. (to) impede Gorbey's court access.

All (while) violating 18 USC 3404(a)(3) & P.S. 5270.09. 3541.1 Purpose, which, explains that the F.Bop discipline process will not be used in arbitrary, capricious & or any retaliatory manner

issue (4) Mr. Bowlin, Lewisburg Remedy Coordinator

Mr. Bowlin works in the Warden's office as Remedy Coordinator & is receiving local region & central office appeal responses &

or Rejections & NOT Having them properly deliver & noted date of delivery to Gorbey to preserve Gorbey's Rights for Appeal but Rather, Bowlin is Holding these Responses & Rejections until the Time for Appeal or Reapplication is Expiring or overly Expired & then Bowlin dumps them in the Prison institutional general Population mail (to prevent) Gorbey from Having any way to Prove the actual date of delivery (to impede) receiving any letterhead or any further Appeals & Exhaustion violating PS. 1330.16 Inmate Remedy Process.

this is done by Bowlin (with deliberate intent to) impede Appeals & Exhaustion of Remedies (to) Force Gorbey to suffer the issues Complain about, including but not limited to "Dangers or Harms" & or "Unjust Discipline Actions & sanctions", which (then) becomes a Constitutional Concern. When Government Attorneys such as Navin Jani, as in Case 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM then argues that Gorbey should be denied (Court access) for Alleged Failure to Exhaust Remedies & clearly shows these Parties Acts of Collusion & intent to deprive Gorbey of Reliefs while Keeping him at threat of further Assaults or death.

issue (5) Mr. Marr Lewisburg unit counselor.

Mr. Marr. Just simply (Refuses) to provide a letterhead under any Circumstance. Arguing He don't do letterheads & it's not his Problem Remedy Responses & Rejections are being Error dump in the Evening mail, & Even often (Refuses) to process Bp8 informal Complaints on these Errors & misconducts. While, though Policy says, the Bp8 informal Process is not to operate to impede Formal Filing & inmates only need to (attempt) the Bp8 Process (if) Available & the inmate only need to

show proof he attempted that Bp8 informal process (if) available such as declaration of Filing or a copy of the Bp8 see. P.S. 1330.16 the Remedy Process. Counselor marr & Mr. Bowlin Collude Together By not Processing or Answering many Bp8 informal Complaints & then Mr. Bowlin will not accept (anything) Even a copy of the Bp8. As proof one was Ever Filed or Attempted & demands UNCONSTITUTIONALLY that (only) the Bp8 & a Response by staff, can, suffice to show the attempt & therefore clearly using the informal Bp8 Process to impede Formal Filing which Bowlin & marr have done at least some 18 times to Gorbey since 4-17-2020. Forcing Gorbey to suffer dangers, damages & UNJUST discipline actions & sanctions.

issue (6) Mr. Hicks. Unit Case manager Lewisburg.

Where like marr. Mr. Hicks won't provide letterheads, knowing Remedies are being dump in institutional mail (to) impede Appeals & Exhaustion Hicks simply argues that if he did not deliver it to Gorbey, he's not providing any letterhead. (to) impede Exhaustion & Court access violating Policy P.S. 1330.16 (while) knowingly forcing Gorbey to suffer dangers, damages & UNJUST discipline actions. see Example. Bp10 Response 1023909-R2. dump in institutional mail (4 days after) the time for appeal had expired. Where, officer Goodrum was professional enough to initial & date the cover page to allow Gorbey verification of the date of delivery (to) justify Unit Team issuing a letterhead 12-14-2020. yet, both Hicks & marr (refuse) to provide any such letterhead, impeding Exhaustion & subsequently possibly impeding Court access.

issue (7) Mr. GauseTph, mail room supervisor, Lewisburg,

- (a) GauseTph is Having His staff to Reject & Return to sender All of Gorbey's Funds. (To) Deprive Gorbey of Court Filing Fees & Commissaries, since 4-17-20.
- (b) GauseTph Has His staff to (Repeatedly) Treat Court mails AS general Correspondance mail & then delay delivery UNTIL the Times for Response is Expiring or Already Expired, since 4-17-20 to date & Continuing.
- (c) GauseTph Has His staff to (Repeatedly) Error Copy Gorbey's mail, only providing some or 1/2 of the pages sent. All violating Ps. 5265.14 inmate Correspondence Policy (To) impede Gorbey's Court Access & (To) Force Gorbey to Suffer Dangers, Damages & staff misconducts since 4-17-20 to date & Continuing.

issue (8) N.C. English, F.Bop N.E. Regional Director.

Since Gorbey's stay at USP Lewisburg 4-17-20 to date & Continuing. English Has (Repeatedly) impeded Gorbey any Proper, Timely or meaningful access to the local N.E. Region Remedy Complaint & Appeal Process (Repeatedly) Forcing Gorbey to suffer Dangers & Damages.

- (a) English is Not Timely Processing Bp10 Appeals or Bp9 sensitive Complaints, within the 30 days Allow Per Policy, (Repeatedly) letting the Pleadings set. 3-6 (months) before Even logging them in or Processing them.
- (b) English & His staff are then Error Rejecting 90% of the Bp10's Filed, claiming Damages Caused Beyond the inmates Control are Contributed to the inmate. see Example #1038321-RI AN initial Otto Appeal Filed in this Case to incident Report 3379061. Bp10 Filed 6-5-20, yet Not Even Key in the system UNTIL August 6, 2020. some 3

months later & Error Rejected claiming Gorbey could not Remove the Part c Receipt that is design (to be removed) AS Gorbey's Evidence of Filing, & that Gorbey was to be Held Accountable For (Carbon Copy Damages) caused After Gorbey submitted the Appeal. Which is A Frustration Process Design to get inmates to give up on Appeals & To (Prevent) Exhaustion, AS under normal Circumstances it is AN issue (UNCURABLE by the inmate) & Will only Recur Everytime the inmate submits the Appeal. & English clearly Knows this & that such Acts Force Gorbey to suffer the Dangers, Damages & misconducts Complain About.

- (c) English is simply deliberately Denying Gorbey Reliefs He is Entitled To. see. Bp10#1023909-R2 on Violations of Gorbey's native Religious Rights & Access. AS English on 11-10-20 Claim it is Constitutionally proper For Lewisburg Warden & chaplain to Abuse use of their Religious Policy (Institutional Supplement 5360.09(H) To Deny Natives Any Religious Access in 6 month period that any discipline seg. Time is issued. thus using Religious Access AS A Arbitrary, Capricious, Retaliatory sanction. & only Provide Natives in shu. Religious Access 2 Times A Year once Every 6 months otherwise. clearly violating native 1st & 14th Amendment Rights (While all other Faith groups) get Weekly services. Even those on disciplinary seg. and, see also DHO Appeal, 1038321-R2. Where, English Knows that Gorbey's Due Process was multiply violated (While) the charge was being used Arbitrarily, Capriciously & Retaliatory (To) Cover up Physical Assault & Staff misconducts, English Forcing Gorbey to suffer the UNJUST sanctions Has Also Knowingly Kept Gorbey in threat of Further Assaults on Death. see. Bp10 Appeal 1038321-R1 & R2 listing Evidence of.

- (1) F.Bop Code 199 being AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL Catch All Code.
- (2) Dto chambers violating impartiality.
- (3) Gorbey being denied 24 Hour notice of Lewisburg Dto Proceedings.
- (4) Gorbey being denied (opportunity to) select A Lewisburg staff Rep.
- (5) Appointed staff Rep. King Failing, Refusing to uphold his duties & Effectively Assist Gorbey.
- (6) Denial of witnesses & Evidence for defense.
- (7) Memorandums given by Estall sis Jones. (Evidencing) other inmates involved. Assault & staff Failure or Refused to investigate & Abuse of Discipline process. yet. With this Host of due process & Equal Protection violations English has deliberately Fail or Refuse to provide Gorbey Reliefs (to) Assist these defendants in these misconducts.

issue (9) IAN CONNORS. Central office Regional Director.

CONNORS has been Retaliating & deliberately allowing misconducts & denying Gorbey Reliefs for years. & more concerning is (in the events of) such abuses of the inmate discipline process (to) cover up assaults & staff misconducts. see. Bp11 Appeal 1008095-A3 For incident report #3287668 For alleged (201) Fighting.

F.Bop Cameras show. 2 inmates with weapons attempting to seriously assault or kill Gorbey (while) unit staff watch & fail to keep Gorbey safe. & yet the F.Bop does not allow self defense under any circumstances (itself) being an imminent danger claiming Gorbey is obligated to suffer the serious assault or death. (or) suffer discipline action. so Connor deny Gorbey appeal Relief. 12-2-2020.

& yet. because of this. Not 8 months after this assault & abuse of discipline actions took place at F.Cit Cumberland.

md. on 7-31-19. Gorbey Again suffer similar Events AT
 FCI, Estill S.C. on 3-16-20. Which these Defendants &
 other parties are colluding to cover up as well & clearly
 showing a (pattern of misconducts) evidencing the likelihood
 of serious physical injury or death. see.
Martin vs. Shelton 319 F.3d. 1048, 1050 (8th Cir. 2003)

Where, clearly, CONNORS is AT it again, because when
 the local N.E. Region fail to timely process Gorbey's initial
 Bp10 # 1038321-RI filed 6-5-20 & Gorbey in accordance with
 Policy Filed His initial Bp11 # 1038321-AI on 7-5-20 then
 Exhausting His Remedies 28 C.F.R. § 542.18. CONNOR deliberately
 Refuse to Address Gorbey's issues Rejecting His Bp11
 (Knowing) Gorbey was serving sanctions without availability
 of any appeal (or) charges used to cover up assault &
 staff misconducts & still today forcing Gorbey to suffer it
Tsao vs. Garrett 409 Appx 262 (2010)

obligations to keep Gorbey safe.

Farmer vs. BRENNAN 511 US 825. (1994)

issue (10) J. ordonez . usp lewisburg sis.

upon arriving AT lewisburg 4-17-20 Gorbey promptly
 notified sis that he had been physically assaulted
 AT Estill & no investigation was conducted & since
 all Estill inmates were brought to lewisburg with
 Gorbey he was exposed to imminent threat of further
 physical assaults or death. yet, ordonez & his sis
 staff refuse to take any action leaving Gorbey AT
 threat & to suffer abused discipline process.

Williams vs. Bledso 2013 US Dist. Lexis 143804. 2013 WL 5502848
 at *18 (md. pa. 11-3-2013) obligation to keep Gorbey safe!

Where, Gorbey Even went as far as Filing Remedy Bp8.

Bp9.10411 Complaints on SIS & providing direction to Assaultants involved, & yet, still SIS, Warden spaulding, Unit Team, Bowlin, Capt. Kenkle, English & occover fail or refuse to investigate, Colluding to assist FOS Estill staff in covering up the assault & staff misconducts.

Where, on 5-4-20 Estill SIS Jones gave a memorandum listing other inmates being involved, but (he) fail to do any investigation as did Lewisburg SIS & other staff.

Where then Gorbey diligently complaining, underwent 2 separate consultations with Lewisburg SIS in regards to these issues between June & August 2020 & yet they still refuse to investigate & address the issues leaving Gorbey in threat of further attacks.

Where then in late August, 2020, J. ordonez, whom knew about these participants all along, claim he then identified 2 participants in the 3-16-20 events, people shown on camera seen by SIS Jones at Estill, & people pointed to by Gorbey in Remedy Complaints & Earlier lawsuits 3:20-cv-806-RDM, 3:20-cv-867-RDM, & Habeas Proceedings, 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM. Note it is unclear which # 1360 or 1364 is the proper case & due to Court errors & reportings, as (the Court) has cited both case #'s in Pleadings regarding that case.

yet, while ordonez (now) identifies 2 participants, he has done (nothing) to rectify the failure to address an assault & abuse of discipline process on Gorbey & only succeeded in accelerating the threats by notifying the 2 inmates they are identified & allowing them to notify Lewisburg general population causing even more animosities towards Gorbey while Gorbey is now designated to another facility in West Virginia where.

At least 200 or more of these hateful inmates have been also designated. Continuing the threats to Gorbey's safety. Especially since some of the people from those specific 2 peoples groups & gangs are designated here.

Farmer vs. Brennan. 511 U.S. 825 (1994)

officials "must" take reasonable measures to keep Gorbey safe.

issue. (III)

the United States.

Where. While the United States is liable for the actions of these F.Bop staff, the United States is Equally liable for negligent-wrongful acts of Judge R.O. mariani & U.S. Attorneys Freed & Nani. 28 USC 32671-2680.

The (F.T.C.A) Holds the United States liable for negligent-wrongful acts of any Federal Employee, acting within their official capacity Richards vs. United States 369 U.S. 1.6. (1962)

While Judges & Gov. Attorneys. Qualify as any Federal Employee & any such personal immunities do NOT extend to quash the (F.T.C.A). Williams. 775 F.3d. at 1189.

The PLRA is intended to impose costs of litigations on prisoners, its purposes do NOT extend as far as immunizing errors by courts or Gov. Attorneys. see.

Thomas vs. Holder 750 F.3d. 894, 904-09 (D.C. Cir 2014)

(a) Judge Robert D. mariani.

Judge mariani retaliating for Gorbey's judicial & other complaints has been Ex parte colluding with Government Attorneys & F.Bop staff, mooted motions for sanctions on defendants for further misconducts & mooted Recusal motions while abusing use of 31915(g) as an affirmative prohibitive financial bar while at the same time colluding to impede Gorbey Habeas Reliefs.

Knowingly subjecting Gorbey to the dangers & damages & becoming the cause of Gorbey's conditions of confinement. see 3:20-cv-806-RDM; 3:20-cv-867-RDM; 3:20-cv-1050-RDM; 3:20-cv-1150-RDM; 3:20-cv-1227-RDM; 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM; 3:20-cv-1457-RDM; 3:20-cv-1713-RDM. While cases 806, 867, & 1360/1364 directly relate to the issues here. Where the Hostile Judge has violated Gorbey's rights & prevailing laws forcing Gorbey to suffer dangers & damages see 28 USC § 351(a) Judicial Conduct Prejudicial to the Effective & Expeditious Administrations of the business of the Courts.

(b) Government Attorneys Freed & Navin Jani.

Where. While Government Attorneys are Entrusted & obligated to proceed in the Public interest of Justice. These Hostile Attorneys are doing the opposite & Act to obstruct Justice.

(1) in Case # 3:20-cv-1150-RDM Gorbey vs. Warden.

Cameras show 2 inmates Attack Gorbey with weapons while Staff Watch & Fail to keep Gorbey safe & then Abuse Discipline Process on Gorbey for defending himself & yet. Gov. Attorneys Freed & Jani. Argue to the Court that this Foolishness is within the Public interest of Justice & Gorbey should be deny Relief & suffer additional time in Prison After suffering loss of Privileges & transfer because of it. A clear mockery & Farce of Justice, which is Part of the imminent dangers Gorbey Faces.

(2) Case(s) 3:20-cv-806-RDM & 3:20-cv-1360/1364-RDM Attorneys Freed & Jani Argue that Gorbey should be denied compensations for staff subjecting him to Physical assaults & abusing use of Discipline Process to

Cover it up. & that Gorbey should be deny Habeas Reliefs & should suffer loss of Privileges & Extended stay in Prison AS A Result.

Where the Government Attorneys clearly list in their Responses the Due Process violations such as denial of 24 Hour Notice of Hearing, or that Policy Allows A Prisoner to Proceed with Appeals When A Timely Response is not provided below, yet then obstructs Justice by claiming (Gorbey) should not obtain these Reliefs or Equal Protections of law or Policy, & clearly simply Colluding to Assist F.Bop staff in Further Abusing discipline Actions to Cover up Assaults & staff misconduct (While) keeping Gorbey in imminent dangers of Further assaults or death. For Which the United States stands liable. 28 USC § 2671-2680. Richards vs. United States 369 U.S. 1. 6. (1962)

Relief sought

- (1) I demand \$250,000,000.00 million dollars
- (2) I seek injunction to Release me From F.Bop Custody on supervised Release Pending Termination of my DC. state sentence and or termination of that sentence as the F.Bop Has been unable to keep me safe. While the F.Bop & Courts Collude to Cover up Assaults & to Abuse use of discipline process Against me. & as long as I remain in any F.Bop Custody I remain in imminent dangers.
- (3) I seek injunction to Allow me self defence in the Events of any assault when staff fail to keep me safe.

- (4) I seek Reform injunction of §1915(g) to Prevent Courts From Abusing it as a Prohibitive Financial bar.
- (5) I seek injunction to Reform the Judicial & Quasi-Judicial Complaint Process.
- (6) I seek A Hearing necessary to defend.

(Chief) Col. Michael S. Owl Feather - Gorbey
Monacan Nation
D.C. DC 317611 Fed. 33405-03

Declaration of mailing §1746 & §1621

I Gorbey declare that on 12-25-20 I deposit this suit & all attachments in usps lewisburg internal legal mail system by 1st class U.S. indigent inmate mail.

(Chief) Col. Michael S. Owl Feather - Gorbey
Monacan Nation
D.C. DC 317611 Fed. 33405-03
usps lewisburg
PO Box 1000
lewisburg, Pa.
17837.

Inmate Name: Michael S. Feather-Gorby
Register Number: 33445-013

United States Penitentiary
P.O. Box 1000
Lewisburg, PA 17837

81 DEC 2020

(legal-special mail)

RECEIVED
SCRANTON

JAN 05 2021

open only in presence of PER Sf DEPUTY CLERK
(Gorby or the U.S. Court)

clerk of court
United States District Court
P.O. Box 1148
Scranton, PA.
18501

